

Attorney General's Office  
100 N. Carson Street  
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO  
Nevada Attorney General  
Nhu Q. Nguyen, Sr. Deputy Attorney general  
Nevada Bar No. 7844  
100 North Carson Street  
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717  
(775) 684-1232  
(775) 684-1103 (fax)  
*Attorneys for Defendant, Ross Miller,  
Secretary of State of Nevada*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

PEST COMMITTEE, a Nevada Ballot  
Advocacy Group; TONY BADILLO, an  
individual; JACK LIPSMAN, an individual;  
AL MAURICE, an individual, KENNY  
BLACKMAN, an individual, WE THE  
PEOPLE, a Nevada Ballot Advocacy  
Group, and the CITIZENS IN CHARGE, A  
Virginia Foundation  
  
Plaintiffs,  
  
v.  
  
ROSS MILLER, in his official capacity as  
Secretary of State for the State of Nevada,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. 2:08-cv-01248-RLH-GWF

**ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED  
COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY  
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

Defendant, Secretary of State Ross Miller, by and through counsel, Catherine Cortez Masto, Attorney General for the State of Nevada, and Senior Deputy Attorney General, Nhu Q. Nguyen, hereby submits this Answer to the First Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief filed by PEST Committee, Tony Badillo, Jack Lipsman, Al Maurice, Kenny Blackman, We the People, and Citizens in Charge (collectively "Plaintiffs").

**INTRODUCTION**

1. The Secretary admits that in the year 2008, there were approximately fifteen initiative petitions filed with the Office of the Secretary of State, and that some of these initiative petitions were challenged in a court of law for violation of NRS 295.009. Based on

1 information and belief, less than twelve of the initiative petitions were challenged in a court of  
2 law for violating NRS 295.009, and as such, the Secretary denies the allegation that twelve  
3 initiative petitions were challenged in a court of law for violating NRS 295.009. The Secretary  
4 admits NRS 295.009 requires an initiative petition to “[e]mbrace but one subject and matters  
5 necessarily connected therewith and pertaining thereto” and that the initiative petition “[s]et  
6 forth, in not more than 200 words, a description of effect of the initiative.” The Secretary  
7 lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the  
8 remaining allegations and therefore denies them.

9       2. The Secretary denies NRS 295.009 violates the United States Constitution.  
10 The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or  
11 falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies them.

12       3. The Secretary admits an initiative petition entitled “Prevent Employers from  
13 Seizing Tips” was filed with the Office of the Secretary of State on January 16, 2008, and was  
14 challenged in Nevada state court on February 7, 2008, by Nevada Resort Association; Retail  
15 Association of Nevada; Wynn Las Vegas, LLC; Nevada Motor Transport Association; Nevada  
16 Manufacturers Association; Nevada Tavern Owner’s Association; and Culinary Worker’s  
17 Union. The Secretary admits the PEST Committee, Tony Badillo, Jack Lipsman, and  
18 Al Maurice attempted to remove the matter to federal court, but the matter was remanded.  
19 The Secretary admits Nevada Resort Association; Retail Association of Nevada; Wynn Las  
20 Vegas, LLC; Nevada Motor Transport Association; Nevada Manufacturers Association;  
21 Nevada Tavern Owner’s Association; and Culinary Worker’s Union filed a motion for  
22 attorney’s fees, which they subsequently withdrew. The Secretary lacks knowledge and  
23 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and  
24 therefore denies them.

#### 25 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

26       4. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
27 allegation is therefore denied.

28 ///

5. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the allegation is therefore denied.

6. The Secretary admits the deadline for proponents of the initiative petition entitled "Prevent Employers from Seizing Tips," filed with the office of the Secretary of State on September 16, 2008, to submit signatures for verification was November 11, 2008. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies them.

7. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the allegation is therefore denied.

8. The Secretary admits Plaintiffs are not seeking damages. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies them.

9. The Secretary denies the allegations.

### **THE PARTIES**

10. The Secretary admits that PEST Committee is a ballot advocacy group that has filed papers with the Office of the Secretary of State. The Secretary admits the PEST Committee filed an initiative petition on January 16, 2008, withdrew it on August 6, 2008, and affirmatively avers that PEST Committee filed a second initiative petition on September 19, 2008.

11. Pursuant to the Statement of Organization filed with the Office of the Secretary of State on January 4, 2008, the Secretary admits Tony Badillo is the Resident Agent of the PEST Committee Ballot Advocacy Group. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies them.

12. Pursuant to the Statement of Organization filed with the Office of the Secretary of State on January 4, 2008, the Secretary admits Al Maurice is listed as the Director of LUGE. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies them.



Attorney General's Office  
100 N. Carson Street  
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717

1           19.     The Secretary admits NRS 295.061 permits any party to file a legal challenge  
2 against an initiative petition in state court. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information  
3 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations and therefore denies  
4 them.

5           20.     The Secretary admits NRS 295.061 permits any party to file a legal challenge  
6 against an initiative petition in state court. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information  
7 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations and therefore denies  
8 them.

9           21.     The Secretary admits an initiative petition entitled "Prevent Employers from  
10 Seizing Tips" was filed with the Office of the Secretary of State on January 16, 2008, and was  
11 challenged in Nevada state court on February 7, 2008, by Nevada Resort Association; Retail  
12 Association of Nevada; Wynn Las Vegas, LLC; Nevada Motor Transport Association; Nevada  
13 Manufacturers Association; Nevada Tavern Owner's Association; and Culinary Worker's  
14 Union. The Secretary admits the PEST Committee, Tony Badillo, Jack Lipsman, and  
15 Al Maurice attempted to remove the matter to federal court, but the removal issue was fully  
16 briefed on July 15, 2008; the federal court remanded the matter to state court. The Secretary  
17 lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the  
18 remaining allegations and therefore denies them.

19           22.     The Secretary admits the federal court entered a seven-page order remanding  
20 the case on July 15, 2008, in Case No. 3:08-cv-00118, and that the order speaks for itself.  
21 The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or  
22 falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies them.

23           23.     The Secretary admits in Case No. 3:08-cv-00118, the Nevada Resort  
24 Association; Retail Association of Nevada; Wynn Las Vegas, LLC; Nevada Motor Transport  
25 Association; Nevada Manufacturers Association; Nevada Tavern Owner's Association; and  
26 Culinary Worker's Union filed a motion for attorney's fees after the matter was remanded to

27 ///

28 ///

1 state court. The Secretary admits the motion for attorney's fees was subsequently  
2 withdrawn. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to  
3 the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies them.

4 24. The Secretary admits an initiative petition entitled "Prevent Employers from  
5 Seizing Tips" was filed with his office on September 19, 2008. Based on information and  
6 belief, the Secretary denies that any party filed a challenge in court against the initiative  
7 petition. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the  
8 truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies them.

9 25. The Secretary admits this Complaint seeks declaratory and injunctive relief.  
10 The Secretary denies NRS 295.009 and NRS 295.061 are unconstitutional or has any chilling  
11 effect and denies the allegations.

12 26. The Secretary denies the allegations.

13 27. The Secretary denies the allegations.

14 28. The Secretary admits that some initiative petitions filed with his office since  
15 2005 have been challenged in court. The Secretary denies that NRS 295.009 and 295.061  
16 are unconstitutional. The Secretary denies that the First Judicial District Court in the State of  
17 Nevada is an improper forum for legal challenges to the initiative petitions.

18 29. The Secretary denies that the Nevada statutes governing the initiative petition  
19 process presents any unconstitutional barrier. The Secretary lacks knowledge and  
20 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and  
21 therefore denies them.

22 30. The Secretary admits the First Judicial District in the State of Nevada comprises  
23 of Carson City and Storey County. The Secretary admits the Nevada state capital is Carson  
24 City. The Secretary admits one of his main offices in Nevada is in Carson City. The  
25 Secretary admits the First Judicial District has two district judges. The Secretary denies the  
26 implication that the First Judicial District Judges or any Nevada State Judges are unqualified  
27 to render their decisions. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a  
28 belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies them.

1           31. The Secretary denies the initiative petition process in Nevada is  
2 unconstitutional. The Secretary denies the implication that Nevada state court decisions are  
3 rendered without any basis in law or fact. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information  
4 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore  
5 denies them.

6                           **A. History of the Single Subject Rule (NRS 295.009)**

7           32. NRS 295.009 and NRS 295.061 speak for themselves and require no response.

8           33. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
9 allegation is therefore denied.

10          34. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
11 allegation is therefore denied.

12          35. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
13 allegation is therefore denied.

14          36. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
15 allegation is therefore denied.

16          37. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
17 allegation is therefore denied.

18          38. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
19 allegation is therefore denied.

20          39. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
21 allegation is therefore denied.

22          40. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
23 allegation is therefore denied.

24          41. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
25 allegation is therefore denied.

26          42. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
27 allegation is therefore denied.

28 ///

1           43.     This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
2 allegation is therefore denied.

3                   **B. The Legal Challenges to Initiatives Using the Single Subject Rule**

4           44.     The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to  
5 the truth or falsity of the allegations and therefore denies them.

6           45.     This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
7 allegation is therefore denied.

8           46.     This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
9 allegation is therefore denied.

10          47.     The Secretary admits numerous groups have submitted various initiative  
11 petitions for filing with his office.

12          48.     This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
13 allegation is therefore denied.

14          49.     This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
15 allegation is therefore denied.

16          50.     The Secretary admits his office filed the "Save Our Schools with Additional  
17 Funding" initiative petition on November 19, 2007, which was subsequently challenged in  
18 Nevada state court. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a  
19 belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies them.

20          51.     The Secretary admits Nevada State Senior Justice Miriam Shearing resolved  
21 the legal challenge to the "Save Our Schools with Additional Funding" initiative petition. The  
22 Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity  
23 of the remaining allegations and therefore denies them.

24          52.     The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to  
25 the truth or falsity of the allegations and therefore denies them.

26          53.     The Secretary admits his office filed the "It's Time for Gaming's Fair Share" and  
27 "It's Time for Gaming's Fair Share and Eliminate Property Taxes" initiative petitions on

28 ///



1 December 12, 2007, which were subsequently challenged in Nevada state court. The  
2 Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity  
3 of the remaining allegations and therefore denies them.

4 54. The Secretary admits Nevada State District Judge William A. Maddox resolved  
5 the legal challenge to the "It's Time for Gaming's Fair Share" and "It's Time for Gaming's Fair  
6 Share and Eliminate Property Taxes" initiative petitions. The Secretary lacks knowledge and  
7 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and  
8 therefore denies them.

9 55. The Secretary admits an initiative petition entitled "Prevent Employers from  
10 Seizing Tips" was filed with the Office of the Secretary of State on January 16, 2008, and was  
11 challenged in Nevada state court on February 7, 2008, by Nevada Resort Association; Retail  
12 Association of Nevada; Wynn Las Vegas, LLC; Nevada Motor Transport Association; Nevada  
13 Manufacturers Association; Nevada Tavern Owner's Association; and Culinary Worker's  
14 Union. The Secretary admits the PEST Committee, Tony Badillo, Jack Lipsman, and  
15 Al Maurice attempted to remove the matter to federal court, but the matter was remanded  
16 and that the order remanding the matter speaks for itself. The Secretary lacks knowledge  
17 and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations  
18 and therefore denies them.

19 56. The Secretary admits his office filed the "Clean and Open Government  
20 Amendment" and "Tax Backed Lobbying Plan" initiative petitions on February 25, 2008;  
21 "Education Enhancement Act" and "Funding Nevada's Priorities Act" initiative petitions on  
22 February 29, 2008; and "Nevada Taxpayers Protection Act" initiative petition on  
23 March 5, 2008. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as  
24 to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore denies them.

25 57. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to  
26 the truth or falsity of the allegations and therefore denies them.

27 58. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to  
28 the truth or falsity of the allegations and therefore denies them.

1           59.    The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to  
2 the truth or falsity of the allegations and therefore denies them.

3                   **C. The Constitutional Deficiencies of NRS 295.009 and NRS 295.061**

4           60.    This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
5 allegation is therefore denied.

6           61.    This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
7 allegation is therefore denied.

8           62.    This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
9 allegation is therefore denied.

10          63.    This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
11 allegation is therefore denied.

12          64.    This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
13 allegation is therefore denied.

14          65.    This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
15 allegation is therefore denied.

16          66.    The Secretary admits his office will withdraw initiative petitions at the  
17 proponents' requests or pursuant to a court order. The Secretary denies his office has ever  
18 withdrawn initiative petitions arbitrarily. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information  
19 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and therefore  
20 denies them.

21          67.    This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
22 allegation is therefore denied.

23          68.    This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
24 allegation is therefore denied.

25          69.    This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
26 allegation is therefore denied.

27          70.    This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
28 allegation is therefore denied.

71. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the allegation is therefore denied.

72. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the allegation is therefore denied.

**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

73. This is an incorporation of the allegations that requires no response.

74. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the allegation is therefore denied.

75. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the allegation is therefore denied.

76. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the allegation is therefore denied.

77. The Secretary denies NRS 295.009 and NRS 295.061 are vague and overbroad, and denies he abuses any enforcement authority given to him by statute.

78. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the allegation is therefore denied.

79. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the allegation is therefore denied.

80. This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the allegation is therefore denied.

81. The Secretary denies.

82. The Secretary denies.

83. The Secretary denies.

84. The Secretary denies.

85. The Secretary denies.

86. The Secretary denies.

87. The Secretary denies.

///

1           88.     The Secretary denies NRS 295.009 and NRS 295.061 are unconstitutional.  
2     The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or  
3     falsity of the allegations and therefore denies them.

4           89.     This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
5     allegation is therefore denied.

6                               **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

7           90.     This is an incorporation of the allegations that requires no response.

8           91.     This is an allegation of law for which no responsive pleading is required and the  
9     allegation is therefore denied.

10          92.     The Secretary admits NRS 295.0575 and NAC 295.020 requires the  
11     submission of the affidavit of the circulator of an initiative petition for signatures to submit an  
12     affidavit when submitting the signatures the circulator has collected. The Secretary lacks  
13     knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining  
14     allegations and therefore denies them.

15          93.     The Secretary denies the requirements of NRS 295.0575 and NAC 295.020 are  
16     unconstitutional. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as  
17     to the truth or falsity of the allegations and therefore denies them.

18          94.     The Secretary denies the requirements of NRS 295.0575 and NAC 295.020 are  
19     unconstitutional. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as  
20     to the truth or falsity of the allegations and therefore denies them.

21          95.     The Secretary denies the requirements of NRS 295.0575 and NAC 295.020 are  
22     unconstitutional. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as  
23     to the truth or falsity of the allegations and therefore denies them.

24          96.     The Secretary denies the requirements of NRS 295.0575 and NAC 295.020 are  
25     unconstitutional. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as  
26     to the truth or falsity of the allegations and therefore denies them.

27          97.     The Secretary denies the allegations.

28          98.     The Secretary denies the allegations.

99. The Secretary denies the requirements of NRS 295.0575 and NAC 295.020 are unconstitutional. The Secretary lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations and therefore denies them.

100. The Secretary denies Plaintiffs are entitled to their requested relief.

#### **FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The First Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

#### **SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Plaintiffs' damages, if any, are the result of their own acts, and they are solely responsible for the matters alleged.

#### **THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Based on information and belief, the Secretary alleges that Plaintiffs failed to mitigate their damages, if any.

#### **FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The Secretary was not personally involved and/or the cause in fact and proximate cause of Plaintiffs' alleged deprivations.

#### **FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Plaintiffs' claim is barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.

#### **SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The Secretary acted at all relevant times in good faith toward Plaintiffs. Thus the Secretary is entitled to qualified good faith immunity from damages.

#### **SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The Secretary is immune from liability as a matter of law.

#### **EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

This action is barred against the Secretary pursuant to Chapter 41 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and the Eleventh Amendment to the United States Constitution.

///

///

**NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The Secretary alleges that at the time of filing this Answer, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged pending the development of sufficient facts after reasonable inquiry; therefore, the Secretary reserves the right to amend this Answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if warranted by subsequent investigation.

WHEREFORE, the Secretary prays that:

1. Judgment be rendered in accordance with the law;
2. The Secretary be awarded costs of suit incurred herein, including reasonable attorney fees; and
3. The Secretary be awarded such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED this 9th day of December, 2008.

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO  
Attorney General

By: /s/ Nhu Q. Nguyen  
NHU Q. NGUYEN  
Nevada State Bar #7844  
Deputy Attorney General  
100 North Carson Street  
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717  
(775) 684-1232  
(775) 684-1103 (fax)  
*Attorneys for Defendant, Ross Miller,  
Secretary of State of Nevada*

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I, Rosiland Hooper, certify that I am an employee of the Office of the Attorney General, State of Nevada, and that on this 9th day of December, 2008, I deposited for mailing at Carson City, Nevada, postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**, addressed to the following:

Kermitt L. Waters, Esq.  
LAW OFFICES OF KERMITT L. WATERS  
704 South Ninth Street  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

/s/ Rosiland M. Hooper  
Rosiland M. Hooper, LS II, an employee  
of the Office of the Nevada Attorney General

Attorney General's Office  
100 N. Carson Street  
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717